# Tree nut and peanut allergies

### Thermo Fisher

### ImmunoCAP™ Specific IgE tests

50% of children that are allergic to one tree nut are allergic to another tree nut.1

ImmunoCAP™ Allergen Components		Profilin*	PR-10	LTP	Storage proteins
ImmunoCAP™ Whole Allergens		Asymptomatic <sup>2</sup> (usually)	Local reactions <sup>2</sup> (mainly)	Local and systemic reactions <sup>2</sup>	Systemic reactions <sup>2</sup>
Peanut (f13)	P	Profilin*	<b>Ara h 8</b> (f352)	<b>Ara h 9</b> (f427)	Ara h 1 (f422) Ara h 2 (f423) Ara h 3 (f424) Ara h 6 (f447)
Hazelnut (f17)	6	Profilin*	Cor a 1 (f428)	<b>Cor a 8</b> (f425)	Cor a 9 (f440) Cor a 14 (f439)
<b>Walnut**</b> (f256)	<b>3</b>	Profilin*		Jug r 3 (f442)	<b>Jug r 1</b> (f441)
Cashew nut***(f202)	I	Profilin*			Ana o 2**** Ana o 3 (f443)
Brazil nut (f18)	0	Profilin*			Ber e 1 (f354)
* Surrogate markers for profilin: Phl p 12, Bet v 2 or Pru p 4. ** Patients sensitised to pecan are very likely to also be sensitised to walnut and vice versa. Jug r 1 and Jug r 3 may therefore be used as a risk marker for both pecan and walnut allergy. <sup>3</sup> *** Patients sensitised to pistachio are very likely to also be sensitised to cashew nut and vice versa. Ana o 3 may therefore be used as a risk marker for both pistachio and cashew nut allergy. <sup>4</sup> **** ImmunoCAP ISAC <sub>6112</sub> test only		<ul> <li>Labile to heat and digestion</li> <li>Highly cross-reactive with pollen and plant foods</li> <li>Low risk of reaction</li> </ul> Cross-reactivity	<ul> <li>Labile to heat and digestion</li> <li>Mainly local reactions</li> <li>Associated with birch pollen allergy (cross-reactivity)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Stable to heat and digestion</li> <li>Associated with local and systemic reactions</li> <li>Associated with allergy to fruits (cross-reactivity)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Stable to heat and digestion</li> <li>Associated with systemic reactions</li> <li>Indicates primary sensitisation</li> </ul> Risk

## Thermo Fisher

Whole allergens Peanut / hazelnut / walnut / cashew nut / Brazil nut	<b>PR-10</b> Ara h 8/ Cor a 1	LTP Ara h 9/ Cor a 8/ Jug r 3	Storage proteins Ara h 1/2/3/6 Cor a 9/14/Jug r 1 Ana o 3 / Ber e 1	Interpreting results*	Management considerations <sup>2–26</sup>
+/-	+/-	+/-	+	High risk of severe, systemic symptoms	Avoid the nut that tested positive Patient likely to react to oral food challenge (OFC) Other potential co-sensitisations (e.g. peanuts, tree nuts, and seeds): consider investigations for nut avoidance Consider, in context of other risk factors, prescription of an adrenaline autoinjector
+/-	+/-	+	-	Risk of local and systemic reactions	Avoid the nut that tested positive     Consider investigation for other nsLTP sensitisation (e.g. fruits, tree nuts, wheat)     Consider, in context of other risk factors, prescription of an adrenaline autoinjector
+/-	+	-	-	Risk of local reactions (usually)	If monosensitised, this is likely a cross-reactivity to PR-10-containing pollen and plant foods     OFC with a specialist may be recommended
+	-	-	-	If all components of the algorithm are negative and an extract is positive, the patient could be sensitised to an untested allergen such as profilins, cross-reactive carbohydrate determinants (CCD) or other allergens.   If all tests (extacts and allergen components) are negative, a tree nut allergy is unlikely – consider alternative investigations. If clinical suspicion persists consider an OFC.	

<sup>\*</sup> Results should always be interpreted in the context of the clinical history.

References: 1. McWilliam V, et al. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2019;143(2):644. 2. Dramburg S, et al. Pediatr Allergy Immunol. 2023;34 Suppl 28:e13854. 3. Teuber SS, et al. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2000;105:S140 4. Savvatianos S, et al. Allergy Clin Immunol. 2015;136:192-4 5. Geiselhart S, et al. Mol Immunology 2018 Aug;100:71-81. 6. Pastorello E, et al. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2004; 114(4): 908–14. 7. Rosenfeld L, et al. Int Arch Allergy Immunol. 2012; 157:238-245. 8. Masthoff L, et al. Allergy Clin Immunol. 2013; 66: 983– 993. 9. Egger M, et al. Curr Allergy Asthma Rep 2010; 10:326–335. 10. www.allergen.org. 11. Davoren M, et al. Arch Solid 2005; 90(10): 1084 – 5. 12. Robot Allergy Clin Immunol. 2005; 1158–14. Hong al. Allergy Clin Immunol. 2005; 1168–15. 12. Robot Allergy Solid Immunol. 2005; 1168–15. 12. Robot Allergy Solid Immunol. 2005; 1168–15. 12. Robot Allergy Solid Immunol. 2005; 1168–16. 14. Borja J. Edger Solid Immunol. 2005; 1168–16. 15. Robot Allergy Immunol. 2011 Feb; 22(1Pt 2): e139–49. 18. Hansen KS, et al. Allergy Clin Immunol. 2003 Feb; 58(2): 132–8. 19. Pastorello EA, et al. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2002; 109(3): 563–70. 20. Schocker F, et al. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2004; 113:141–7. 21. Hansen KS, et al. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2009 Apri; 123(5): 1134–41. 22. Garino C, et al. Mol. Nutr. Food Res. 2010; 54: 1257–1265 23. Bradshaw N. A Clinical Reference Guide to Molecular Miergy. Go Molecular Molecular Nutre. 14. Robot Solid Edger Solid Edg



#### Learn more at thermofisher.com/allergencomponents

© 2025 Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc. All rights reserved. All trademarks are the property of Thermo Fisher Scientific and its subsidiaries unless otherwise specified. Legal manufacturer: Phadia AB (a part of Thermo Fisher Scientific). 453351.AL.EU7.EN.V1.25

